

*Interview Summary Comments*

Attorney, Andrew Zidel registration# 45256 needed clarification on the specification objection on page 9, lines 3-4 of the Non Final Office Action mailed November 10, 2009. Examiner intimated that the specification stated that the invention is a computer program, and the computer program was software which was not patentable. Also, attorney wanted to know why the computer readable medium in claim 31 was objected to since this referred to the computer format. Examiner told same that computer readable medium was not defined in the specification, and this was not the same as computer format. Same also wanted to know if he could amend the specification and the claims. Told same that he could, but whatever was amended in the claims must be defined in the specification.